1		n xxn				
1	SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP Alan R. Plutzik, Of Counsel (Bar No. 077785)					
2	Nichole Browning, (Bar No. 251937) 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120					
3	Walnut Creek, California 94598					
4	Telephone: (925) 945-0770 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792					
5	SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP Lee D. Rudy 280 King of Prussia Road					
6						
7	Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667-7706					
8	Facsimile: (610) 667-7056					
9	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. Lynn Lincoln Sarko					
10	Juli E. Farris (Bar No. 141716) Elizabeth A. Leland					
11	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 Telephone: (206) 623-1900					
12	Facsimile: (206) 623-3394	*E-FILED - 3/13/08*				
13	Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs [Additional Counsel Appear on Signature Page]					
14	[Haditional Counsel Appear on Signature 1 age]					
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
	NORTHERN DISTR	PICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16		RICT OF CALIFORNIA E DIVISION				
16 17	SAN JOS In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC.					
	SAN JOS	E DIVISION Case No. C-06-05598-RMW				
17	SAN JOS In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC.	E DIVISION Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: HEARING ON MOTION TO				
17 18	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	E DIVISION Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND ***********************************				
17 18 19	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
17 18 19 20	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
17 18 19 20 21	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
17 18 19 20 21 22	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To: All Matters	Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To: All Matters WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' prior	Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To: All Matters WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' prior	Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	In re FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION This Document Relates To: All Matters WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' prior Stipulation") and Order of this Court dated Janual 2008, the Court is currently scheduled to hear ora	Case No. C-06-05598-RMW STIPULATION AND XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				

1	Dated: March 12, 2008 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.			
2				
3		[s] Juli E. Farris		
4		By: JULI E. FARRIS Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs		
5	Dated: March 12, 2008	DLA PIPER US LLP		
6	Dated: March 12, 2000	DENTIL EX OB EDI		
7		[s]Gerard A. Trippitelli		
8		By: GERARD A. TRIPPITELLI Attorneys for Nominal Defendant Foundry		
9		Networks, Inc. and Defendants Laurence L.		
10		Akin, Ken K. Cheng, John P. Burger, Benjamin D. Taft, Paul L. Twombly, Richard W. Bridges,		
11		Chandra Kopparapu, Ivy Pei Shan Hsu, Karl D. Triebes, Lee Chen, Robert W. Shackleton,		
12		William S. Kallaos, Andrew K. Ludwick		
13	Dated: March 12, 2008	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI		
14		Professional Corporation		
15		[s] Cynthia A. Dy		
16		By: CYNTHIA A. DY		
17		Attorneys for Defendant Bobby R. Johnson, Jr.		
18				
19				
20				
21		·		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27		DER RE: HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS AND CASE ERENCE (Master File No. C-06-05598-RMW)		

- 1					
1	Dated: March 12, 2008 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP				
2					
3		[s] Elliot R. I			
4		By: ELLIOT Attorneys for	Defendant Timothy D. Heffner		
5	Dated: March 12, 2008	COOLEY G	ODWARD KRONISH LLP		
6	Buttur Maron 12, 2000	000221			
7		[s] John C. D)wyer		
8		By: JOHN C	. DWYER r Defendants Alfred J. Amoroso, C.		
9		•	ating, Jr., and J. Steven Young		
10					
11	Attestation Pursuant to General Order 45				
12	I, Nichole Browning, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained				
13	from the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the				
14	United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 12th day of March, 2008 a				
15	Walnut Creek, CA.				
16		[s] Nichole F	Browning LE BROWNING		
17	*	by. NICHO	LE BROWNING		
18	xx:	«×××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××			
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
20		_			
21	DATED: 3/13/08	Ronal	d M. Whyte		
22		THE HONO	RABLE RONALD M. WHYTE TATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
23		OTTIED ST	THE DISTRICT VODGE		
24					
25					
26					
27		DER RE: HEARING ON M	OTION TO DISMISS AND CASE		